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Design of a Four-Channel Surface Receiver Coil Array without Preamplifiers for the Decoupling between Elements: Validation for high-resolution Rat Knee MR Imaging


Abstract—In Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI), multi-channel coil arrays are increasingly being used to improve Signal-to-Noise Ratio (SNR) in order to increase spatial and/or temporal image resolution. Recent decoupling technique allows conception of two-channel surface transceiver coil arrays; this technique based on common conductor does not require additional preamplifier for the decoupling between elements. In this case the coil array loops are directly connected to the independent transmit/receive switches and preamplifiers of the MR system. Using this common conductor decoupling technique, a topology of a four-channel coil array was developed and described in this paper. A four-channel surface receiver coil array was designed to perform the simultaneous acquisition of both rat knee joints at 7T. Without the use of additional array was designed to perform the simultaneous acquisition of this case the coil array loops are directly connected to the independent transmit/receive switches and preamplifiers of the MR system. Using this common conductor decoupling technique, a topology of a four-channel coil array was developed and described in this paper. A four-channel surface receiver coil array was designed to perform the simultaneous acquisition of both rat knee joints at 7T. Without the use of additional preamplifiers, a good decoupling between channels was obtained and very high spatial resolution 3D images with a voxel size of $49 \times 49 \times 98 \, \mu \text{m}^3$ were achieved in 1h22min scan time. Acquisitions allowed the quantification of cartilage morphological parameters such as thickness and volume.

Index Terms— small animal MRI, tunable device, four-channels NMR surface coil array.
elements. As a result, the original resonance of the coil is split in two leading to an important reduction of coil sensitivity at the operating frequency.

In human whole body systems (except at 7 T), surface coil arrays are mostly used in receive mode combined with a volume coil (such as the saddle coil, birdcage coil, TEM) for transmission. In the high-field regime, when the wavelength of the associated Larmor radio-frequency (RF) approaches the dimensions of the coil and volume of interest (VOI), the volume coils become less effective. Indeed at 7T, the proton Larmor frequency is 300 MHz; the wavelength is 1 m in the air and 11 cm in a dielectric with an 80 effective permeability. Propagation phenomena can not be neglected anymore in human body: destructive interferences can appear due to the short wavelength in front of VOI. Thus, at high magnetic field strengths, the potential benefits of surface coil arrays for combining transmit and receive (transceiver) operations are worth exploring. Unfortunately the low input impedance preamplifier technique to reduce coupling between multiple elements of surface restrain the coil array use in receive mode only. To achieve transceiver operation, recent works described strip transmission line array [10], overlap geometries [11] and capacitive decoupling networks [11]-[14].

A different solution was described in particular for two-channel coil arrays [15]. In this setup, single elements of the array are not juxtaposed or overlapped but elements are electrically jointed with a common conductor. This technique is easier to implement than the overlapping process for small sized coil arrays, thus explaining its use for small animal applications. In this arrangement, decoupling between elements is achieved by the common conductor in series with a capacitor. Loop inputs of the array are directly connected to the independent transmit/receive switches and preamplifiers integrated to the MR system: low input impedance preamplifiers for the decoupling between elements are not required allowing the use of the coil array in transceiver mode. Based on this technique, two-channel transceiver coil arrays were developed by Gareis et al. [16]. In order to resist high voltages occurring in transmit mode, mechanical variable capacitors are used for loops matching. To our knowledge, few works used this technique for human applications or for coil array with more than two loops. A recent paper described a four-channel human cardiac transceiver coil array operating at 7T with two rectangular loops placed on anterior and two placed on posterior of the body [17]. Each part is composed of a two-channel coil array based on a common conductor decoupling. The large distance between the two sets of elements insures decoupling between them. The authors took advantage of the surface coils compared to volume coil in the high-field regime. This work shows that the described decoupling technique is of interest to design transceiver coil arrays and/or for high magnetic-field applications. Another study presents a four-channel surface coil array based on common conductor decoupling between elements for rat head MR imaging [18]. The elements are aligned and placed side by side. Each neighbor element is joined by pair with a common conductor. Because the two extremity channels needed further decoupling with the preamplifier technique, the array was operating in receive mode only.

In this paper we present new principle and design of a four-channel coil array which does not use overlapping technique but is instead on a common conductor decoupling: the four elements are lined up and joined on the same device. Low input impedance preamplifiers and external capacitive decoupling network are not required for the cross decoupling between elements. The challenge of this work is to ensure decoupling between elements directly by the adjustment of capacitors constituting the loops. To our knowledge, this coil array represents the first four-channel NMR surface coil array with these characteristics. The main objective of this paper is to present a simple but realistic equivalent electrical circuit to explain and predict the S-parameters of the coil array. The equivalent electrical circuit of the four-channel surface coil array is described in section II. Validation of this equivalent electrical circuit was performed with experimental confrontation by measuring corresponding S-parameters of a coil array designed for a dedicated small animal application. A four-channel surface receiver coil array is designed to perform the simultaneous acquisition of both rat knee joints at 7T. Indeed, in a previous work [19], simultaneous imaging of rat knee joints was performed with a pair of two-channel receiver coil arrays. A two-channel receiver coil array was duplicated and placed on each knee. A small sheet of copper inserted at equal distance between the two arrays was used to insure decoupling between inner elements. This previous setup did not give satisfaction due to a lack of reproducibility. We present in section III of this paper the design of the four-channel receiver coil array with a particular wave-like topology to fit with both rat knees. The S-parameter measurements for coil elements as well as high spatial resolution MR images of rat knee cartilage are presented in section IV.

II. EQUIVALENT ELECTRICAL CIRCUIT

In this section we first look back on the two-channel coil array equivalent electrical circuit described in [20], it will be used to explain the four-channel coil array behavior.

A. The Two-Channel Coil Array

In a previous work [19], the equivalent electrical circuit for a two-channel coil array based on a common conductor was developed. This circuit reported in Fig. 1 a) is again described as an introduction to four-channels. Two loops constituting two basic magnetic dipoles are linked by a common \( L-C \) section constitute the two-channel coil array. At the operating frequency, the \( L-C \) section shorts the two loops (at the black point) by deporting the ground plane.

\[
\left| jL_0 \omega \right| = \left| \frac{1}{jC_0 \omega} \right| \tag{1} 
\]

with \( \omega = 2\pi f_0 \) where \( f_0 \) is the operating frequency.

When condition (1) is met, each loop is independent of each other; a zero of transmission is achieved between the two ports ensuring decoupling between elements. At the operating frequency, the equivalent electrical circuit of each loop is then described by Fig. 1 b). Equations to match each loop to 50 \( \Omega \) at the operating frequency \( f_0 \) were extracted from the equivalent circuit of this figure. To match the real and the
imaginary parts of the coil input impedance, two equations linking $R$, $L_a$, $C_c$ and $C_e$ values are given by:

$$R = \frac{(1 - L_a C_e \omega^2)^2}{(1 - L_a C_e \omega^2)^2 + (RC_e \omega)^2} = 50$$

(2)

$$L_a \omega - RC_c \omega - L_a^2 C_e \omega^2 = \frac{1}{C_e \omega} = 0$$

(3)

The resistor $R$ represents the sum of conductor losses, magnetic losses and series resistances of lumped elements. The $L_a$ inductor represents the loop inductance. $L_e$ inductor is the sum of the common conductor and the mutual inductance between the two loops. In practice, $L_a$ and $L_e$ is governed by the copper strip dimensions: the length of copper strip depends on the coil dimension fixed by the imaging VOI on the animal. The $C_e$ and $C_a$ capacitors are adjusted to match each loop to 50 $\Omega$ at the operating frequency $f_0$.

Quality factor $Q$ is an important parameter to characterize a coil. For a single coil element $Q = \omega L / R$ where $L$ is the loop inductance of the coil and $R$ its resistance. Note that quality factor measured at 50 $\Omega$ and called $Q_L$ (for loaded quality factor) is given by $Q_L = Q/2$ [21], [22]. For two coils joined with a conductor, quality factor equation is more complex. It depends not only of $L_a$ and $R$ but also on $L_e$ and on the neighbor coil. Indeed equations (1), (2) and (3) are just valid at the operating frequency. Except for this frequency, the entire circuit of Fig. 1 a) must be considering.

![Fig. 1 Principle of a two-channel NMR coil array. a) The two loops are joined by a common $L_a$-$C_e$ section. b) At the operating frequency $f_0$, the $L_a$-$C_e$ section is shorted. $C_c$ and $C_e$ match each loop to 50 $\Omega$.](image1)

**B. The Four-Channel Coil Array**

Based on the two-channel scheme, we propose, in Fig. 2, a topology to conceive a four-channel coil array with a common conductor between two neighbor elements. Each inductor $L_a$ in Fig. 1 is divided into three new different inductors $L_{ae}$, $L_{ad}$, $L_e$ representing the upper, lower and external sides of each two-channel coil loop, respectively. Mutual inductances between two loops are implicitly included on $L_e$ inductors; to simplify all $L_e$ inductors are fixed to the same value. So mutual inductance values are not taken into account in the circuit.

The four-channel coil array topology is based on a two-channel coil array (loops 2-3); each loop is duplicated on both sides by a mirror effect giving loops 1 and 4. At the operating frequency, decoupling between elements is achieved by the $L_{ae}$-$C_e$ and $L_{ad}$-$C_d$ sections when these sections respect condition (1). Indeed, each ground plane of Fig. 2 is deported from point 1 to point 2 though the $L_{ae}$-$C_e$ section, next it is deported from point 1 to point 2 though the $L_{ad}$-$C_d$ section. Finally it is deported from point 2 to point 3 though another $L_{ae}$-$C_e$ section. These perfect theoretical reports of ground plane in point 3 guarantee a perfect decoupling between channels at the operating frequency. In this case, each loop of Fig. 2 has the same equivalent electrical circuit than each loop of the two-channel coil array described in Fig. 1 b). Equations (2) and (3) remain also valid to match each four-channel coil array element to 50 $\Omega$ at the operating frequency.

**III. DESIGN**

A four-channel surface coil array was designed for simultaneous imaging of both rat knee joints on a Bruker 7T Biospec MR scanner (Bruker, Ettlingen, Germany). The coil array was implemented in hybrid technology on a flexible substrate (Rogers® RT/Duroid® 5880, thickness: 508$\mu$m) to fit the animal’s morphology. Loops were matched to 50 $\Omega$ at the proton Larmor frequency in a 7T static magnetic field; i.e. $f_0$=300 MHz (Fig. 3).

Loops inductors were realized with 35 $\mu$m thickness and 4 mm width section of copper tape. The lengths were fixed by the imaging volume of interest corresponding to the rat knees coverage (22 mm x 80 mm). Each element of the array consists in a rectangular loop with 14x15 mm$^2$ internal and 22x23 mm$^2$ external dimensions. The flexible substrate was glued on two parallel Plexiglas$^{TM}$ cylinders with 21 mm outer diameter and 19 mm inner diameter leading to wave-like shaped coil to fit the animal morphology. To decouple the receiver array from the transmitter coil, each loop integrates

![Fig. 2 Equivalent electrical circuit of a four-channel NMR coil array. At the operating frequency $f_0$, the $L_a$-$C_e$ and $L_d$-$C_d$ sections are shorted; each loop is equivalent to Fig 1 b).](image2)
an active decoupling circuit made with one DH80055 PIN diode (Temex Ceramics, Pessac, France). This PIN diode was placed in series with the $L_b$ inductor to detune each loop during the transmitting phase; a -32 V and 3.8 V bias voltage values were applied to shift off and on resonance, respectively, each coil array element. Four 50 Ω BNC cables with 50 cm length were soldered at the four loops inputs to connect each coil of the array with the acquisition data cabinet integrating preamplifiers and transmit/receive switches of the MR system. In a previous work, it has been demonstrated that two of the three $L_b$, $C_a$ and $C_e$ elements must be adjustable to be able to tune the real and imaginary parts of each loop input impedance [20].

**Fig. 3** Photograph of the four-channel NMR surface coil array.

The $C_a$ and $C_e$ were chosen to be the adjustable elements for the four-channel coil array. Matching of each loop to 50 Ω at $f_0$=300 MHz was realized using varicap diodes BB149 (Philips Semiconductors, Eindhoven, Netherlands). The capacitance values of these diodes are range from 2 pF to 22 pF for a bias voltages between -30 V and 0 V. Fixed capacitors are non magnetic case A series 100 and 700 ATC capacitors (American Technical Ceramics, New York, USA). The values are adjusted to minimize all $|S_{ij}|$ parameters. The adjustment procedure using fixed capacitors is fastidious. Indeed, the modification of one capacitance value alters the sixteen S-parameters. Although the determination of capacitance values through calculations (equations 2 and 3) and circuit simulation (section IV A) is a trivial matter when all other components (inductors and resistors) are known, experimentally it is a very challenging task. This difficulty rises from the high sensitivity of capacitance values versus inductors and resistors which are not perfectly known experimentally. Up to now we do not have a systematic method to determine and adjust capacitance values; obtaining a coil array with a good decoupling between elements is a tedious and time-consuming task.

The four-channel coil array layout is shown in Fig. 4 with the following lumped components: PIN diodes for diode and varicap diodes for variable capacitor. Only the RF circuit is presented with all copper tape dimensions and experimental values of lumped components. The direct-current (DC) circuits are represented by the “DC bias” blocks. All high-fixed capacitor values (220 and 510 pF) are used to stop DC voltages.

We note that capacitors placed on common conductors and corresponding to $C_c$ in the Fig. 2 do not have the same values on the layout. Indeed $C_c$ capacitors are adjusted to compensate $L_c$ with correspond to the sum of conductor inductance and mutual inductance between loops. Mutual inductance values taken into account explain this difference of $C_c$ values.

A bias box was built to drive the DC bias voltages for each

**Fig. 4**. RF layout of the four-channel coil array with lumped components values.
loop to ensure tuning, matching and actively decoupling. This bias box was designed to be interfaced with the “coil interface unit (CIU)” from the Bruker system (Advance I and II) or with an additional external power source. CIU is used to drive DC voltages during MRI experiment while the external power source is used to drive DC voltages during the coil array building and characterization with a Vector Network Analyzer (VNA) in the electronic room lab.

IV. CHARACTERIZATION

A. Circuit Simulations

![Graph showing capacitance values versus R and Lc values.](image)

Fig. 5 Cc and Cc capacitance values versus R and Lc values.

In this section, circuit simulations of the four-channel coil array topology (Fig. 2) are presented so as to confirm the equivalent electrical circuit. These simulations were achieved for arbitrarily chosen values of coil components: the Lg, Lc and Lg inductors were fixed to the same 10 nH value to constitute four perfect square loops. The Cc and Cc capacitance values were calculated from equation (1) at an operating frequency $f_0=300$ MHz: $C_c=C_c=28.14$ pF while $C_c$ and $C_c$ capacitance values, calculated from the equations (2) and (3), ensured matching of the loops to 50 $\Omega$ at $f_0=300$ MHz. $C_c$ and $C_c$ capacitance values versus R and Lg values are shown in Fig. 5. For circuit simulations the R resistor is fixed to 1.1 $\Omega$ which is a coherent practical value using similar components (extracted from previous works [20]). This R-value fixed $C_c$ to 4.5 pF and $C_c$ to 24.2 pF. The circuit of Fig. 2 was simulated with Designer software (Ansys, USA). Results of S-parameters are shown in Fig. 6, passive circuit symmetry implies that some S-parameters in figures 6 and 7 bring the following observations:

- Loop quality factor is better in presence of the parasitic inductors $L_{gnd}$. Indeed quality factor values are 55 and 54 when $L_{gnd}$ is equal to 5 nH and 10 nH, respectively. Without $L_{gnd}$ value, the quality factor is 26.
- Decoupling parameters between loop 1 and 2 (or loop 3 and 4) are improved over all frequencies, except the operating frequency, in presence of $L_{gnd}$ with $|S_{12}|<-9$ dB (Fig. 6) to $|S_{12}|<-16$ dB (Fig. 7).

Fig. 6 presents simulations of the ideal circuit: at $f_0=300$ MHz $|S_{ij}|$ parameters smaller than -20 dB illustrate the good matching of each loop to 50 $\Omega$ while $|S_{ij}|$ parameters smaller than -20 dB demonstrate the good decoupling between elements. Quality factor extracted from simulations is 26 for all loop of coil array. In Fig. 7, the presence of parasitic inductors modified the $|S_{ij}|$ parameters on a wideband around the operating frequency; quality factors extracted from simulations are 55 in Fig. 7 a) and 54 in Fig. 7 b) for all loop of coil array. At the operating frequency, all S parameters were inferior to -50 dB without $L_{gnd}$ inferior to -30 dB with $L_{gnd}=5$ nH and inferior to -24 dB with $L_{gnd}=10$ nH. Comparing S-parameters in figures 6 and 7 would bring the following observations: the simulations the $S_{12}$ parameters on a wideband around the operating frequency; quality factors extracted from simulations are 55 in Fig. 7 a) and 54 in Fig. 7 b) for all loop of coil array. At the operating frequency, all S parameters were inferior to -50 dB without $L_{gnd}$ inferior to -30 dB with $L_{gnd}=5$ nH and inferior to -24 dB with $L_{gnd}=10$ nH. Comparing S-parameters in figures 6 and 7 would bring the following observations:

- Loop quality factor is better in presence of the parasitic inductors $L_{gnd}$. Indeed quality factor values are 55 and 54 when $L_{gnd}$ is equal to 5 nH and 10 nH, respectively. Without $L_{gnd}$ value, the quality factor is 26.
- Decoupling parameters between loop 1 and 2 (or loop 3 and 4) are improved over all frequencies, except the operating frequency, in presence of $L_{gnd}$ with $|S_{12}|<-9$ dB (Fig. 6) to $|S_{12}|<-16$ dB (Fig. 7).
Transmission parameters minima no longer occur at the same frequency.
All minimum transmission parameters are deteriorated in presence of $L_{\text{par}}$ due to the perfect ground transformation into inductive impedance.

In spite of the S-parameters deterioration at the operating frequency, the four-channel coil array keeps good matching for each loop and acceptable transmission parameters (with $|S_{ij}| < -20$ dB) when parasitic inductors are inferior to 14 nH.

### B. $|S|$ Parameters Measurements

Measurements of the four-channel surface coil array design in section III were carried out with an Agilent E5071C four-port VNA (Agilent Technologies Inc., Santa Clara, CA, USA).

The coil array was matched in loading conditions using two cylindrical phantoms filled with saline water solution (NiSO$_4$ 1.25 g/L and NaCl 5 g/L) to mimic rat legs. Each loop was independently matched to 50 Ω at $f_0 = 300$ MHz using the bias box.

![Fig. 8 $|S|$ parameters measurements of the four-channel NMR coil array.](image)

Fig. 8 shows the sixteen $|S|$ parameter measurements realized when PIN diodes are activated. Each curve symbol represents a group of curves which are similar for a perfect device (as in Fig. 6). In practice, the circuit is not perfectly symmetric: curves are different inside a group. These curve differences are represented by different size and grey level of the same symbol. Bias voltages applied to each varicap diode and their capacitance values extracted from datasheet are summarized in Table I for loaded and non-loaded coil. We note a significant bias voltage difference between internal (2 and 3) and external (1 and 4) loops but no significant difference between loaded and non-loaded coil. Values of tuning and matching diodes capacitance allow extraction of $L_o$ and $R$ values from Fig. 5 using $C_a$ and $C_e$ curves. $C_a = 6$ pF and $C_e = 24$ pF for external loops give $L_o$ and $R$ of about 9 nH and 1.25 Ω, respectively.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Loop</th>
<th>$Q$</th>
<th>$C_a$ (pF)</th>
<th>$C_e$ (pF)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Non-loaded</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loaded</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Components of the coil.

Measurements results of Fig. 8 are in good agreement with simulated results when the parasitic inductors are added in the electrical circuit (Fig. 7): transmission parameters are inferior over all frequencies; $|S_{ij}|$ minima values are not superimposed at the same frequency and loops quality factors are improved compared to Fig. 6 without parasitic inductors. Measured $|S_{ij}|$ parameters show a coil matching for each loop better than -30 dB at 300 MHz; $|S_{ij}|$ parameters better than -17 dB characterizing a good enough decoupling between loops pairs. Measured quality factors of each loop were reported on Table 1 for loaded and non-loaded coil array: they vary between 40 and 45. Quality factors were measured for the four channels with $|S_{ij}| = 3.3$ dB. This -3.3 dB value correct for the -0.3 dB losses present on $|S_{ij}|$ parameters over all frequencies; these losses provide by coaxial cables. The measured quality factors are low due to the small inductor $L_o$ and due to the series resistance of all lumped elements (six fixed capacitors, two varicaps and one PIN diode for each loop). Indeed, these quality factors, varying between 40 and 45, have the same order of magnitude that simulated results using a 1.1 Ω estimated resistance $R$, 10 nH loop inductors $L_o$, 12.5 Ω and with a parasitic inductors $L_{\text{par}}$ inferior to 5 nH ($Q = 53$).

Even though components in the experimental setup do not exactly match values used in simulations and even though electromagnetic field is not taken into account in circuit simulations, experimental result curves are similar to predicted simulated results. The presence of parasitic inductances is confirmed by the experimental measurements. The elementary equivalent electrical circuit in Fig. 2 is sufficient to explain fundamental operations of the coil array but more complex circuit of electromagnetic simulations will be necessary if perfect adjustment between simulated and measured data is required.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Loop</th>
<th>$Q$</th>
<th>$C_a$ (pF)</th>
<th>$C_e$ (pF)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Non-loaded</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loaded</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$C_a = 4$ pF and $C_e = 16$ pF for internal loops give $L_o = 13$ nH and $R = 1.7$ Ω. $L_o$ and $R$ differences between internal and external loops can be explained by three dimensional topology difference between the loops and the presence of different neighbor loops. These differences between internal and external loops illustrated the component adjustment difficulties for the coil realization. Difference of capacitance values between loaded and non-loaded coil is not significant to extracted magnetic loses. Circuit simulations, whereby differences in electromagnetic fields between loops are not taken into account, achieve similar results for all loops. $L_o$ and $R$ values extracted from Fig. 5 are coherent with design and
C. Magnetic Resonance Imaging

The experiments were performed on a Bruker 7T BioSpec MR scanner (Bruker, Ettlingen, Germany). The maximum gradient amplitude available is 400 mT/m and the clear bore diameter is of 120 mm. In vitro acquisitions were performed to compare two coils: the designed four-channel coil array and a transmit/receive quadrature volume coil (32 mm, RAPID Biomedical GmBH, Rimpar, Germany). A transmit birdcage coil with 112 mm outer diameter and 72 mm inner diameter (Rapid Biomedical, Würzburg, Germany) is used for transmission when the designed four-channel coil array is used as receiver. In vivo acquisitions were performed simultaneously on both knee joints of a rat.

1) In vitro

SNR profiles show an undesirable signal outside of the coil array. This signal is due to the loop of the ground plane (Fig. 4). Amelioration of this point would be a further stage to improve the coil array.

In order to characterize the designed coil array (signal distribution and SNR), experimental tests were performed on 15 mm inner diameter cylindrical phantoms filled with salty water (NiSO$_4$ 1.25 g/L and NaCl 5 g/L) mimicking load conditions found on animal tissues. A 3D FLASH sequence was used with the following parameters: 15 ms TR, 6 ms TE and 50 kHz receiver bandwidth. A total of 128 partitions (32.5 mm thick) were acquired with a FOV of 6.5 x 6.5 cm$^2$ and an acquisition matrix size of 256 x 256 in 8min12sec (NA=1).

Fig. 9 shows axial and coronal MR images obtained on the two uniform cylindrical phantoms. No visible susceptibility artifacts from the components were depicted. Fig. 9 shows also the SNR sagittal and coronal profiles obtained with the four-channel coil and along axes defined in the figure. These profiles can be compared in the figure with the homogenous SNR of about 200 obtained in the phantom with the quadrature volume coil. The maximum SNR gain for the four-channel coil array was up to 3.4 times higher compared to the SNR obtained with the quadrature volume coil. Axial image of single channels presented in Fig. 10 shows the independence of the channels.

Fig. 10 Axial images of each channel.

2) In vivo

A quick gradient-echo localizer with three orthogonal plane orientations of 6 x 6 cm$^2$ FOV was first run to identify the region of interest and to allow graphical prescriptions of the subsequent scans. Rapid Acquisition with Relaxation Enhanced (RARE) sequences in sagittal, axial and coronal plans were performed in order to check that the knee joints were placed adequately with respect to the four-channels receiver coil array and to carefully prescribe the following high-resolution (HR) sagittal 3D slabs orthogonally to the anteroposterior flexion axis of the knees [23]. The 3D HR-MRI of the rat knees were obtained using a 3D FLASH sequence with the following parameters: 30° flip angle, 50 ms

Fig. 11 Rat knee MR imaging. a) Articular cartilage, b) Meniscus, c) Distal femur, d) Proximal tibia, e) Fibula.
TR, 3.6 ms TE, 27.8 kHz receiver bandwidth. Anti-aliasing was used in both slice and phase encoding direction. A total of 256 partitions (98 µm thick) were acquired using a double slab volume selection, one for each knee, with a FOV of 1.25 x 1.88 cm² and an acquisition matrix size of 256 x 384. Due to the simultaneous signal acquisition on four channels, the amount of data exceeded 4GBytes. The proprietary software (Paravision 5.1, Bruker Biospin, Germany) being run on a 32-bits operating system, online image reconstruction could not be performed. Thus, we employed a home-made script (Matlab 7.13a, Mathworks, Milwaukee, USA) to finally obtain the reconstructed slices (in-plane pixel: 49 x 49 µm², thickness: 98 µm). This script consists to open and arrange Bruker files of raw data in multidimensional matrices. Data were preprocessed before fast Fourier transformation. They were first reordered according to k-space position, coil element and volume to generate a 5D volume (512 x 512 x 256) voxels x 4 coils x 2 slabs and including data oversampling factors (2:0:1:3:2:0) used to avoid image folding due to small FOV. Hamming window and a 3D FFT were applied for each coil element and slab volumes. Then, the spatial volume was cut down to 256 x 384 x 128 pixels. Finally, each slab was reconstructed using a sum of square of the relevant coils (coil 1&2 for right knee, 3&4 for left knee). The acquired volumes of 1.25 x 1.88 x 1.25 cm³ completely covered each rat knee. The scan time for the FLASH sequence was 1h22min.

Fig. 12 Segmented cartilage compartments of both rat knees performed on HR-MR images. Femoral cartilages appear in yellow and green colors, lateral tibial cartilages appear in pink and red colors, medial tibial cartilage appear in turquoise and blue colors.

Fig. 11 presents the image reconstruction of the right and left knee, respectively. The 3D-MRI data sets were used to compute the femoral condylar groove as well as medial and lateral tibial plateaus cartilage volumes. Segmented cartilage compartments of both rat knees are presented in Fig. 12. The knee cartilage compartments were drawn directly on interactive touch-sensitive screen this screen using the pen provided. Manual contouring and pixel counting were done using AMIRA software.

To our knowledge, the voxel size reported here represents the highest spatial resolution performed in vivo on both knee joints of a rat for an acquisition time of less or equal to 1h22min. The SNR gain obtained with the multi-channel coil was used to improve the spatial resolution [24].

V. CONCLUSION

An equivalent electrical circuit of a four-channel NMR surface coil array based on common conductor decoupling technique was proposed. The topology allowed good matching and good decoupling between elements without the use of additional low input impedance preamplifier.

The design of a four-channel surface coil array with a particular wave-like topology for the simultaneous two rat knees imaging was realized at 300 MHz. The capacitor adjustments permit to obtain decoupling better than -17 dB between all elements pairs. To our knowledge, this coil array represents the first four-channel NMR surface coil array based on common conductor not using the preamplifier decoupling technique. Performance of the coil array was proved through the simultaneous HR-MRI of both knees joint of a rat. Voxel size of 49x49x98 µm³ was obtained for a 1h22min acquisition time. In future works, similar four-channel coils array build with mechanical variable capacitors could be used as transceiver coil. In this case each channel phase and magnitude could be studied and electromagnetic simulations using finite element method or method of moments performed so as to look for the magnetic field homogeneity.
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